

The Gazette of India



PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

No. 45] NEW DELHI, SATURDAY, NOVEMBER 7, 1964/KARTIKA 16, 1886

Separate paging is given to this Part in order that it may be filed
as a separate compilation

NOTICE

The undermentioned Gazettes of India Extraordinary were published upto the 28th October 1964 :—

Issue No.	No. and Date	Issued by	Subject
263.	S.Os. 3731 and 3732, dated 23rd October, 1964.	Ministry of Information and Broadcasting.	Approval of films specified therein.
264.	S.O. 3733, dated 23rd October, 1964.	Ministry of Commerce.	The Cotton Textiles (Control) Fifth Amendment Order, 1964.
265.	S.O. 3734, dated 24th October, 1964.	Election Commission, India.	Calling upon the Monghyr Parliamentary Constituency to elect a person in a vacancy in the House of the People.
	S.O. 3735, date 24th October, 1964.	Do.	Appointing dates etc. for the bye-election referred to in S.O. 3734 above.
	S.O. 3736, dated 24th October, 1964.	Do.	Fixation of hours for the election referred to in S.O. 3734 above.
266.	S.O. 3737, dated 24th October, 1964.	Ministry of Food and Agriculture.	Authorising Shri J. P. Goel, Officer on Special Duty, Dte. of Sugar and Vanaspati, to take over the management of the Mohini Sugar Mills Ltd., Gaya subject to terms and conditions specified therein.

Issue No.	No. and Date	Issued by	Subject
267.	S.O. 3738, dated 24th October, 1964.	Ministry of Works and Housing.	Amendments to Notification No. S.R.O. 635, dated 28th February, 1957.
268	S.O. 3794, dated 28th October, 1964.	Ministry of Education.	The International Copyright (Sixth Amendment) Order, 1964.

Copies of the Gazettes Extraordinary mentioned above will be supplied on indent to the Manager of Publications, Civil Lines, Delhi. Indents should be submitted so as to reach the Manager within ten days of the date of issue of these Gazettes.

PART II—Section 3—Sub-section (ii)

Statutory orders and notifications issued by the Ministries of the Government of India (other than the Ministry of Defence) and by Central Authorities (other than the Administration of Union Territories).

MINISTRY OF FINANCE

(Department of Economic Affairs)

New Delhi, the 26th October 1964

S.O. 3799.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 53 of the Banking Companies Act, 1949 (10 of 1949), the Central Government, on the recommendation of the Reserve Bank of India, hereby declares that the provisions of section 9 of the said Act shall not apply to the New Bank of India Ltd., New Delhi in respect of the agricultural land measuring about 9600 square yards held by it at Batala Road, Amritsar till the 5th September 1965.

[No. F. 18(20)-BC/64.]

S.O. 3800.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (a) of sub-section (2) of section 46C of the Reserve Bank of India Act, 1934 (2 of 1934), the Central Government hereby notifies the Industrial Credit and Investment Corporation of India Limited, Bombay, and the Madras Industrial Investment Corporation Limited, Madras, as financial institutions for the purposes of the said clause (a).

[No. F. 3(61)-BC/64.]

B. J. HEERJEE, Under Secy.

(Department of Revenue and Company Law)

INCOME-TAX

New Delhi, the 24th October 1964

S.O. 3801.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (6) of section 88 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 (43 of 1961), the Central Government hereby notifies the Sri Kanyaka Parameswari Devasthanam & Charities, Kotwal Market, Madras to be of historic, archaeological and artistic importance for the purposes of the said section.

[No. 76 F. No. 16/42/64-IT(A1).]

G. R. DESAI, Dy. Secy.

MINISTRY OF COMMERCE

(COFFEE CONTROL)

New Delhi, the 24th October 1964

S.O. 3802.—In exercise of the powers conferred by second proviso to sub-section (1) of section 25 of the Coffee Act, 1942 (7 of 1942), the Central Government, being satisfied that it is not practicable for the owners producing coffee in the Union Territory of the Andaman and Nicobar Islands to comply with the provisions of that sub-section on account of the small quantity of coffee produced by them and on account of their estates being situated in a remote locality, hereby exempts such owners from the provisions of that sub-section.

[No. 14(35) Plant(B)/64.]

B. KRISHNAMURTHY, Under Secy.

CORRIGENDUM

New Delhi, the 26th October 1964

S.O. 3803.—In the Government of India Ministry of Commerce Notification S.O. No. 3667, dated the 3rd October, 1964, published in the Gazette of India Extraordinary Part II—Section 3—Sub-section (ii), dated the 3rd October, 1964, for the words "Second Amendment" in paragraph I, the words "Third Amendment" shall be substituted.

In the Government of India Ministry of Commerce Notification S.O. No. 3667, dated the 19th October, 1964, published in the Gazette of India Extraordinary Part II—Section 3—Sub-section (ii) dated the 19th October, 1964 for the words "Third Amendment" in paragraph I, the words "Fourth Amendment" shall be substituted.

[No. F. 3(11)-Tex(A)/64.]

A. G. V. SUBRAMANIAM, Under Secy.

(Office of the Textile Commissioner, Bombay)

Bombay, the 26th October 1964

S.O. 3804/CER/5/64.—In exercise of the powers conferred on me by Clause 34 of the Cotton Textiles (Control) Order 1948, and with the sanction of the Central Government, I hereby make the following further amendment to the Textile Commissioner's Notification No. TCS. I/11/60, dated the 14th December, 1960, namely:—

In the table appended to the said Notification, for the existing entry in column 3 against S. No. 14, the following shall be substituted, namely:—

"Industrial Adviser, Senior Enforcement Officer, Under Secretary, Directors, Deputy Directors, Assistant Directors, Assistant Enforcement Officers, Research Officers, Technical Investigators, and Enforcement Inspectors, at Headquarters and in the Regional Offices of the Textile Commissioner.

P. J. FERNANDES,

Addl. Textile Commissioner.

[No. F. 3(11)-TEX(A)/64.]

T. S. KUNCHITHAPATHAM, Dy. Secy.

MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY & SUPPLY

(Dept. of Industry)

ORDER

New Delhi, the 28th October 1964

S.O. 3805/IDRA/6/12.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 6 of the Industries (Development and Regulation) Act, 1951 (65 of 1951) read with rules 2, 5 and 8 of the Development Councils (Procedural) Rules, 1952, the Central Government hereby appoints, till the 11th June, 1966, Dr. K. I. Narasimhan, to be the Member-Secretary of the Development Council established by the Order of the Government of India in the late Ministry of Industry No. S.O. 2171 dated the

12th June, 1964 for the scheduled industries engaged in the manufacture or production of textiles made of Wool, including Woollen Yarn and Hosiery and directs that the following amendments shall be made in the said Order, namely:—

- (i) In the said Order, for entry No. 25 relating to Shri A. C. Chaudhuri, the following entry shall be substituted, namely:—
25. Dr. K. I. Narasimhan, Director, Office of the Textile Commissioner, Post Bag No. 10004, Bombay-1.
- (ii) In the said Order, for the existing para 2, the following para shall be substituted, namely:—
2. Dr. K. I. Narasimhan, Director Office of the Textile Commissioner, Bombay, is hereby appointed to carry on the functions of the Secretary to the said Development Council.

[No. 2(2)/Dev. Councils/64.]

S. P. KRISHNAMURTHY, Under Secy.

(Indian Standards Institution)

New Delhi, the 23rd October 1964

S.O. 3806.—In pursuance of sub-rule (1) of rule 4 of the Indian Standards Institution (Certification Marks) Rules, 1955, as amended in 1962, the Indian Standards Institution hereby notifies that the Standard Mark(s), design(s) of which together with the verbal description of the design(s) and the title(s) of the relevant Indian Standard(s) are given in the Schedule hereto annexed, have been specified.

These Standard Mark(s), for the purpose of the Indian Standards Institution (Certification Marks) Act, 1952, as amended in 1961, and the rules and regulations framed thereunder, shall come into force with effect from the dates shown against each.

THE SCHEDULE

Sl. No.	Design of the Standard Mark	Product/Class of Products to which applicable	No. & Title of Relevant Indian Standard	Verbal description of the design of the Standard Mark	Date of effect
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
1	IS:1333 	Ink, Duplicating, All Weather, Black for Drum Type Machines.	IS:1333-1958 Specification for Ink, Dupl. cating, All Weather, Black for Drum Type Machines.	The monogram of the Indian Standards Institution consisting of letters ISI, drawn in the exact style and relative proportions as indicated in col. (2), the number designation of the Indian Standard being superscribed on the top side of the monogram as indicated in the design.	1 September, 1964.
2	IS:1551 	Carbon Paper for Typewriters.	IS:1551-1959- Specification for Carbon Papers for Typewriters.	The monogram of the Indian Standards Institution consisting of letters ISI, drawn in the exact style and relative proportions as indicated in col. (2) the number designation of the Indian Standard being superscribed on the top side of the monogram as indicated in the design.	1 October, 1964.

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
3	IS: 2215	Starters for Fluorescent Lamps	IS:2215-1963 Specification for Starters for Fluorescent Lamps (Revised).	The monogram of the Indian Standards Institution consisting of letters ISI, drawn in the exact style and relative proportions as indicated in col. (2), the number designation of the Indian Standard being superscribed on the top side of the monogram as indicated in the design.	1 November, 1964.

[No. MD/17:2]

S.O. 3807.—In the then Ministry of Industry (Indian Standards Institution) Notification published in the Gazette of India, Part II, Section 3(ii) dated 9 May 1964 under S.O. 1533 dated 30th April, 1964, the following be substituted for Serial No. 2 in the Schedule annexed thereto :

Sl. No.	Product/Class of Product	No. & Title of the relevant Indian Standard	Unit	Marking Fee Per Unit
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
1	(i) Ink, Duplicating, All Weather Black for Rotary Type Machines and (ii) Ink Duplicating, All Weather, Black for Drum Type Machines.	IS:1222-1957 Specification for Ink, Duplicating, All Weather, Black for Rotary Type Machines and IS:1333-1958 Specification for Ink, Duplicating, All Weather, Black for Drum Type Machines.	One Kg.	2 Paise.

[No. MD/18:2]

S.O. 3808—In pursuance of sub-regulation (3) of regulation 7 of the Indian Standards Institution (Certification Marks) Regulations, 1955, as amended in 1961, 1962, 1964 the Indian Standards Institution hereby notifies that the marking fee per unit for various Products, details of which are given in the Schedule hereto annexed, have been determined and the fees shall come into force with effect from the dates shown against each :

THE SCHEDULE

Sl. No.	Product/Class of Products	No. and title relevant Indian Standard	Unit	Marking Fee per Unit	Date of effect
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
1	Carbon Paper for Typewriters.	IS:1551-1959 Specification for Carbon Paper for Typewriters.	One Box of 100 sheets.	0.5 Paise	1 October, 1964.

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
2 Starters for Fluorescent Lamps.	IS:2215-1963	Specification for Starters for Fluorescent Lamps (Revised).	1000	Rs. 5.00 per unit for the first 500 units; Rs. 3.00 per unit for the 501st unit and above.	1 November, 1964

[No. MD/18:2]

New Delhi, the 26th October 1964

S.O. 3809.—In licence No. CM/L-157 dated 23rd December, 1959 held by M/s. Shamsher Sterling Cable Corpn. Ltd., Bombay, the details of which are published under S.O. 240 in the Gazette of India, Part II, Sub-section 3(ii) dated 18th January 1964, the list of articles has been revised as follows with effect from 1st October, 1964:

Type VIR Non-Flexible Cable	Voltage Grade	Conductor
(i) TRS (Tough Rubber Sheathed)	250 Volts	Copper or Aluminium
(ii) Braided and Compounded	250 & 660 Volts	
(iii) Weatherproof	250 & 660 Volts	
(iv) Flame Retarding	250 Volts	

[No. MD/12:289.]

D. V. KARMARKAR, Jt. Director (Marks).

MINISTRY OF HEALTH

New Delhi, the 27th October 1964

S.O. 3810.—In pursuance of clause (d) of rule 2 of the Indian Medical Council Rules, 1957, published with the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Health No. S.R.O. 1319, dated the 16th April, 1957, the Central Government hereby appoints Shri H. K. Mohanty, Registrar, Orissa. Council of Medical Registration, as 'Returning Officer' for the conduct of election of member to the Medical Council of India under clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 3 of the Indian Medical Council Act, 1956 (102 of 1956), in the State of Orissa.

[No. F. 4-28/64-MPT.]

R. MURTHI, Under Secy.

MINISTRY OF TRANSPORT

(Transport Wing)

PORTS

New Delhi, the 24th October 1964

S.O. 3811.—In pursuance of sub-section (3) of section 6 of the Bombay Port Trust Act, 1879 (Bombay Act VI of 1879), the Central Government hereby publishes the following return received from the Bombay Chamber of Commerce and Industry, Bombay namely:—

"Return showing the name of the person elected by the Bombay Chamber of Commerce and Industry, Bombay in accordance with the provisions of section 13(1) of the Bombay Port Trust Act, 1879 to fill the

vacancy caused by the temporary absence on leave of Mr. F. Rozario".

Date of election	Name of the person elected
3rd October, 1964	Mr. J. W. Anson, Mackinnon Mackenzie & Co. Pvt. Ltd.

[No. 8-PG(145)/64.]
R. RANGARAJAN, Under Secy.

MINISTRY OF EDUCATION

New Delhi, the 27th October 1964

S.O. 3812.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1), read with clause (ii) of sub-section (2), of section 8 of the Hindi Sahitya Sammelan Act, 1962 (13 of 1962), the Central Government hereby appoints the 'Deputy Financial Adviser, Ministry of Education', as a member of the first Governing Body of the Hindi Sahitya Sammelan in place of Shri B. B. Singh, Under Secretary to the Government of India in the Ministry of Finance (Department of Expenditure) and makes the following further amendment in the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Education No. S.R.O. 1758 dated the 2nd June, 1962, namely:—

In the said notification under the heading 'Members', under the sub-heading '(b) Representative of the Ministry of Finance', against entry (ii), the following entry shall be substituted, namely:—

"Deputy Financial Adviser, Ministry of Education".

[No. F.19-19/62-H.1.]
RAJENDRA NARAIN, Under Secy.

ARCHAEOLOGY

New Delhi, the 28th October 1964

S.O. 3813.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 18 of the Ancient Monuments Preservation Act, 1904 (7 of 1904), the Central Government hereby cancels the notification issued by the former Government of Central Provinces in the Public Works Department (Building and Road Branch), No. 111, dated the 17th November, 1906, in so far as it relates to "the old temple of Antariksha Parasvanatha" and makes the following amendment to the said notification, namely:—

In the said notification, the entries,—

"Do	Basim	Sirpur, 12 miles from Basim	The old temple of Antariksha Parasvanatha, a short distance village on the west, belonging to the Digambara Jaina community".
-----	-------	-----------------------------	---

shall be omitted.

[No. F. 4-23/63-C.1.]

S. J. NARSIAN,
Assistant Educational Adviser.

MINISTRY OF REHABILITATION

(Office of the Chief Settlement Commissioner)

New Delhi, the 8th October 1964

S.O. 3814.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Sub-Section (1) of Section 6 of the Administration of Evacuee Property Act, 1950 (XXXI of 1950), the Central Government hereby appoints, for the States of Madhya Pradesh, Orissa, Bihar and the transferred areas of the State of Bihar which after re-organisation of the States with effect from 1st November, 1956 form part of the State of West Bengal, Shri N. P. Jaisinghani for the time being holding the post of Assistant Settlement Commissioner, in the Indore region as Custodian of Evacuee Property for the purposes of discharging the duties assigned to Custodian by or under the said Act with effect from the forenoon of 24th September, 1964.

[No. 5(6)ARG/62.]

KANWAR BAHADUR,
Settlement Commissioner (A) & Ex-C^r, Dy Secy.

MINISTRY OF LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT

New Delhi, the 27th October 1964

S.O. 3815.—The following draft of a scheme further to amend the Vizagapatnam Dock Workers (Regulation of Employment) Scheme, 1959, which the Central Government proposes to make in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Section 4 of the Dock Workers (Regulation of Employment) Act, 1948 (9 of 1948), is published as required by the said sub-section for the information of all persons likely to be affected thereby; and notice is hereby given that the said draft will be taken into consideration on or after the 30th November, 1964.

Any objections or suggestions which may be received from any person with respect to the said draft before the date so specified will be taken into consideration by the Central Government.

Draft Scheme

1. This Scheme may be called the Vizagapatam Dock Workers (Regulation of Employment) Amendment Scheme, 1964.

2. In the Vizagapatam Dock Workers (Regulation of Employment) Scheme, 1959 for sub-clause (4) of clause 2, the following sub-clause shall be substituted, namely:—

"(4) Nothing in this Scheme shall apply to any class or description of dock work and dock workers in relation to any ship of the Indian Navy."

[No. 526/35/64-Fac.]

K. D. HAJELA, Under Secy.

New Delhi, the 27th October 1964

S.O. 3816.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Industrial Tribunal, Dhanbad, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the Sendra Colliery of Messrs Hind Shippers Private Limited, Post Office Bansjora, District Dhanbad, and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 23rd October, 1964.

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL,
DHANBAD.

In the matter of a Reference under Section 10(1)(d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (XIV of 47).

REFERENCE NO. 99 OF 1963

PARTIES:

Employers in relation to the Sendra Colliery of Messrs. Hind Shippers Private Limited, Post Office Bansjora (District Dhanbad).

AND

Their workmen.

PRESENT:

Shri Raj Kishore Prasad, M.A.,B.L.—*Presiding Officer.*

APPEARANCES:

For the Employers.—Sri S. S. Mukherjee, Advocate.

For the Workmen.—Sri R. N. Sharma, M.L.A.

STATE: Bihar.

INDUSTRY: Coal.

Dhanbad, dated the 21st September 1964

AWARD

Ministry of Labour and Employment, Government of India, by its Order No. 2/70/63-LRII, dated the 16th December, 1963, referred, under Section 10(1)(d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, to this Tribunal for adjudication, an industrial dispute existing between the employers in relation to the Sendra Colliery of Messrs. Hind Shippers Private Limited and their workmen in respect of the matter specified below:

SCHEDULE

"Whether the dismissal of Sri Firu Bhuia, Underground Munshi, by the Management of Sendra Colliery was justified. If not, to what relief is he entitled?".

2. A written statement, on behalf of the concerned workman, was filed by the Colliery Mazdoor Sangh on 6th February, 1964, in which it was said that the concerned workman has been working as Underground Munshi at Sendra Colliery since 1st May, 1957, and has a clean and meritorious record to his credit; that on 11th July, 1963, he was served with a charge sheet for over reporting of one tub of coal in favour of the miners in the gang of Sahadul Mia under Mohd. Hussain Mia, Miners' Sirdar of 6 Pit 10 Seam; that on the date of occurrence, that is, 9th July, 1963, there was delay in supplying tubs due to failure of electricity for which he had gone to the surface for some time for making necessary arrangements and when he again went underground he was told by Jari Chamar, Trammer, that during his absence from underground two tubs of coal had been drawn from Main Deep Section, one of them being hand cut coal and the other being re-loaded coal; that when the concerned workman went to the Main Deep Section for making spot enquiry he could not find any of the miners there and hence had no alternative but to rely on the information of the Trammer Jari Chamar for booking of these two tubs in his report; that, however, the next day on further enquiry he found that both concerned tubs contained re-loaded coal and then he was about to rectify the mistake but was forbidden from doing so as the charge sheet had already been issued to him in the meantime; that the concerned workman further explained that the Section from which the two tubs in dispute had been drawn was not visited by him prior to the incident; that thereafter, a departmental enquiry was held on 25th July, 1963 during which the main witness Jari Chamar was not allowed to be examined, the Deputy Overman on whose statement the charge sheet was issued was also not produced, and the concerned workman was not allowed to cross-examine the witnesses produced by the management and as such the enquiry conducted was not proper and the findings of the Enquiry Officer are perverse; and, that, therefore, the dismissal of the concerned workman was arbitrary and unjustified and as such his dismissal should be set aside and he should be reinstated.

3. A written statement on behalf of the employers was also filed on 17th February, 1964, in which it was said that the duty of an Underground Munshi, amongst others, is to report the number of tubs loaded by the miners of his shift on the basis of which payment is made; that on 9th July, 1963, in the third shift in the daily report the concerned workman over reported one tub in favour of Sahadul Mian's gang of Mohd. Hussain Mia, Sirdar in No. 6 Pit 10 Seam, for which a charge sheet dated 11th July, 1963 was issued to the concerned workman to which he gave a reply and, thereafter, a departmental enquiry was held in the presence of the concerned workman at which the misconduct was established and, therefore, he was dismissed and as such he is not entitled to any relief.

4. Both the parties examined witnesses and filed documents. With mutual consent documents were marked as Exhibits M to M. 6 for the management and Exhibits W to W 4 for the workmen. The management examined two witnesses namely M. W. 1 Sri M. V. Bijapurkur, Manager, and M.W. 2 Sri M. K. Sen Gupta, Welfare Officer who held the enquiry. On behalf of the workman W.W. 1 Sri Firu Bhuiya, workman concerned, only was examined.

5. The domestic enquiry held by M.W. 2 was very peculiar and entirely against the principles of natural justice. M.W. 2, the Enquiry Officer, admitted that he knew that the charge had to be proved by the owner and, therefore, the owner has to adduce evidence first, but he did not ask the Assistant Manager, although he was present with his witnesses, to examine them. He further admitted frankly that he first examined the accused Firu Bhuiya and thereafter Shri Chattaraj Assistant Manager and his witnesses. M.W. 2 further admitted that Firu Bhuiya the concerned workman was put questions by the Assistant Manager, namely Shri Chattaraj. The reason given by M.W. 2 as to why he did not examine the witnesses for the management first, was that he found from the charge sheet that the accused admitted his guilt and, therefore, the Assistant Manager was not examined but he only cross-examined the accused.

6. In the present case the procedure adopted at the domestic enquiry, as will appear from Exhibit M. 2, which was held on 25th July, 1963, by M.W. 2, was that first of all the concerned workman was examined and he was cross-examined at great length and in great details by the Assistant Manager, Sri S. K. Chattaraj, and then by the Enquiry Officer himself. After the examination and thorough cross-examination of the accused Firu Bhuiya, management's witnesses Sahadul Mia, Babul Mia and Kiti Mia were examined by the Enquiry Officer. The Assistant Manager, Sri S. K. Chattaraj, did not at all examine himself. There is nothing to show either from the enquiry papers Exhibit M. 2 or from the enquiry report Exhibit M. 3 that the Enquiry Officer M.W. 2, as stated by him, gave an opportunity or asked Firu Bhuiya (i) if he wanted to cross-examine the aforesaid management's witnesses, and he refused, (ii) if he was

given an opportunity and told that if he wanted he could examine defence witnesses, (iii) if he wanted to examine Jari Chamar who was then on leave as his defence witness, or (iv) if after hearing the evidence of the management's witnesses he had to say anything. The Deputy Overman, who informed the Manager about the incident, was not examined. Jari Chamar was also not examined even by the management on the ground that he was on leave. M.W. 2 stated in his cross-examination that he remembered to have asked the accused if he wanted he could examine his witnesses but he said he would not examine any, but admitted that he had not recorded anywhere in the enquiry report or other papers about these facts. In these circumstances, the domestic enquiry was nothing but a farce or an eye-wash and was not at all fair and proper. Sri Mukherjee, appearing on behalf of the management also frankly admitted that the enquiry had not properly been held.

7. The stand taken by M.W. 2 and Sri Mukherjee is that as his guilt was admitted by the accused, no charge sheet was necessary at all, and, therefore, the enquiry held was unnecessary. In support of his contention he relied on a Bench Decision of the Patna High Court in *Toppo Vs. Telco*, 1962 (II) L.L.J. 398, in which it was held, in a First Appeal from a suit for damages for wrongful dismissal, that in a domestic enquiry when the mistake was confessed there was nothing more to be done and it could not be argued that the procedure for dealing with the cases of misconduct was not complied with. This, in my opinion, does not apply here.

8. M.W. 2 the Enquiry Officer said as referred to earlier, that as he found from the charge sheet that the accused admitted his guilt, the Assistant Manager was not examined but the only cross-examined the accused. If M.W. 2 found that the guilt was admitted then what was the necessity of holding an enquiry at all and of putting the accused into the witness box first, before the examination of prosecution witnesses, and getting the accused cross-examined at length and in great details by the prosecution witness Assistant Manager? This shows that the Enquiry Officer was himself not sure if the reply of the concerned workman Exhibit M. 1 really amounted to an unqualified and unequivocal confession of his guilt and, therefore, there was a combined attempt by the Enquiry Officer and the prosecution witness Assistant Manager to put the accused first in the witness box and by all possible cross-examinations by them anyhow to net the accused and have from him clear confession of his guilt. Such a procedure is a negation of a proper and fair domestic enquiry and against the principles of natural justice.

9. Let us, therefore, now see if actually the guilt of the accused was admitted by him. In the charge sheet Exhibit M. the accused Firu Bhuiya, was charge sheeted for having over-reported on 9th July, 1963, in the third shift in his daily report one tub in favour of Sahadul Mia's gang of Mohd. Hussain in No. 6 Pit 10 Seam. In reply, Exhibit M. 1 to the Charge sheet Exhibit M. the workman concerned said that he was innocent and he did not admit the charge. What he said was that at the place, where the occurrence took place on 9th July, 1963, he did not go before but after distributing the tubs on a different line, he went to the Eastern line to re-load one tub and as there was dearth of empty tubs he went to Chanak and found that the electricity had failed and, therefore, the coming of the tubs had stopped. Jari Chamar, Trolleyman, told him that in the Main Deep Section two tubs had been filled up, one of which was re-loaded and the other was raising. He then went to that place and found that there was neither any miner nor any tub but on the statement of Jari Chamar in his raising report he showed two tubs as reloading but next day when he learnt the true facts he wanted to correct the mistake but meanwhile the charge had been taken out. He, therefore, said that it was purely due to wrong impression and, therefore, pity should be taken on him and he should be excused. In this so-called admission, there is want of confession of his guilty intention. Simply because he said that he may be excused as the over-reporting was due to wrong impression (*Galat Fahami*) be it cannot be said that it amounted to confession of his guilt. It should be borne in mind that admittedly the concerned workman had a clean record and he was never charge sheeted before. In this background his plea of *bona fide* mistake should have been investigated. Jari Chamar was an important witness for the concerned workman and he should have been asked to examine Jari Chamar, if he wanted to do so. It is to be remembered that the concerned workman was not represented by any union or any other person and, therefore, if the intention of the management was to hold a fair and proper enquiry it should have been adjourned, even when the concerned workman did not ask for it, as obviously he did not know the procedure and told to bring Jari Chamar when he returned from leave. The Deputy Foreman, who was the first

informant should have been examined by the prosecution. He was a very important witness. The procedure adopted clearly shows that the attempt was anyhow to return a verdict of guilty to get rid of this workman. What he says in this reply Exhibit M. 1 is that by mistake on the statement of Jari he made that entry. Unfortunately, Jari was not examined by either party and, therefore, it cannot be said whether Jari's statement would have supported the workman or not. On these facts, it appears to me that when there is no confession of guilt and when the accused pleads his *bona fide* mistake due to the statement of another person, it cannot be called to be a confession of guilt in the true sense. I, therefore, hold that the case relied upon by Sri Mukherjee has no application to the facts of the present case.

10. For the reasons given above, I hold that the domestic enquiry held in this case was not at all fair and proper and according to the principles of natural justice and, therefore, it was invalid and it must be set aside. Accordingly, the dismissal of the workman was unjustified and illegal and it must also be set aside.

11. The result, therefore, is that the reference is answered in favour of the workman concerned by holding that the dismissal of Sri Firu Bhuiya, Underground Munshi, by the management of Sendra Colliery was not justified, and, therefore, he is entitled to be reinstated from 1st August, 1963, when he was dismissed from the company's service as per letter Exhibit M. 4, with full back wages and other emoluments to which he may be entitled with continuity of service.

12. This is the award which I make and submit to the Central Government under Section 15 of the Act.

Sd./- RAJ KISHORE PRASAD,
Presiding Officer,
Central Govt., Industrial Tribunal,
Dhanbad.

DHANBAD:

21st September, 1964.

[No. 2/70/63-LR-II.]

ORDER

New Delhi, the 28th October 1964

S.O. 3817.—Whereas, the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the Bhagaband Colliery of Messrs Borrea Coal Company Limited, Post Office Bhagaband, District Dhanbad, and their workmen in respect of the matters specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And, whereas, the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Dhanbad, constituted under section 7A of the said Act.

SCHEDULE

(i) Whether the management of Bhagaband Colliery of Messrs Borrea Coal Company Limited, Post Office Bhagaband (District Dhanbad), was justified in terminating the services of Shri Tapeswar Singh, Prop Mazdoor, with effect from the 23rd September, 1963?

(ii) If not, to what relief is the Workman entitled?

[No. 2/112/64-LR-II.]

H. C. MANGHANI, Under Secy.

MINISTRY OF PETROLEUM AND CHEMICALS

New Delhi, the 26th October, 1964.

S.O. 3818.—Whereas by a notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Petroleum and Chemicals S.O. No. 2783 dated the 30th July, 1964, under sub-section (1) of Section 3 of the Petroleum Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of

User in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), the Central Government declared its intention to acquire the right of user in the lands specified in the Schedule appended to that notification for the purpose of laying pipelines;

And whereas the competent authority has, under sub-section (i) of section 6 of the said Act, submitted report to the Government;

And, whereas, the Central Government has, after considering the said report, decided to acquire the right of user in the lands specified in the Schedule appended to this notification;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (i) of section 6 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby declares that the right of user in the said lands specified in the Schedule appended to this notification is hereby acquired for laying the pipelines and in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of that section, the Central Government directs that the right of user in the said lands, shall instead of vesting in the Central Government, vest on the date of the publication of this declaration in the Indian Oil Corporation Limited free from all encumbrances.

SCHEDULE

State—Bihar	Distr.—Shahabad	Thana—Arrah			
Village with thana No.	Survey No. (Plot No.)	Extent in acre	Village with thana No.	Survey No. (Plot No.)	Extent (Plot No.) in acre
Mahatwania No. 344 .	199 0·01 3 0·025 11 0·05 8 0·02 9 0·06 10 0·025 16 0·015 27 0·15 28 0·18 7 0·001 29 0·001		Dehri No. 345—contd.	38 0·06 88 0·05 89 0·06 40 0·07 39 0·08 41 0·08 522 0·51 539 0·06 540 0·01 541 0·015 543 0·002 42 0·001	
Baonpal No. 338 .	2318 0·28 2315 0·31 2317 0·09 2316 0·05 2314 0·155 2313 0·05 2312 0·185 2311 0·13		Chaurasi No. 231	371 0·32 370 0·16 369 0·075 368 0·185 367 0·15 365 0·13 366 0·03 364 0·095 362 0·14 363 0·10 361 0·06 360 0·08 359 0·10 358 0·20 357 0·09 355 30·09 354 0·08 353 0·16 352 0·05 351 0·05 350 0·05 349 0·05 405 0·02 348 0·22 340 0·02	
Dehri No. 345 .	31 0·10 150 0·09 148 0·10 32 0·10 147 0·09 112 0·04 33 0·03 98 0·14 34 0·08 97 0·045 35 0·13 96 0·02 95 0·02 94 0·125 93 0·065 36 0·07 92 0·15 37 0·182 91 0·05 90 0·09		Chorain No. 230	308 0·02 568 0·31	

Village with thana No.	Survey No.	Extent (Plot No.) in acre	Village with thana No.	Survey (Plot No.)	Extent in acre
Chorai No. 230—contd.			Chorain No. 230—contd.		
	571	0.38		675	0.001
	572	0.01		1250	0.001
	573	0.01		651	0.001
	574	0.08	Kalyanpur No. 229	327	0.02
	576	0.005		331	0.10
	575	0.015		328	0.14
	649	0.065		330	0.03
	650	0.45		329	0.22
	652	0.08		279	0.04
	653	0.06		352	0.04
	657	0.12		404	0.20
	658	0.135		405	0.04
	659	0.02		406	0.43
	664	0.085		408	0.06
	665	0.105		409	0.06
	676	0.105		410	0.17
	677	0.075		516	0.12
	679	0.01		417	0.045
	680	0.01		418	0.08
	678	0.055		431	0.075
	681	0.06		432	0.055
	698	0.07		433	0.055
	697	0.125		435	0.075
	703	0.025		437	0.05
	700	0.08		438	0.06
	699	0.025		439	0.065
	701	0.13		440	0.095
	731	0.06		445	0.25
	730	0.025		467	0.05
	732	0.08		466	0.06
	733	0.05		465	0.064
	736	0.02		460	0.04
	734	0.03		461	0.045
	735	0.03		470	0.10
	1233	0.03		471	0.10
	1235	0.02		468	0.08
	1234	0.14		480	0.015
	1236	0.15		444	0.02
	1237	0.03		463	0.07
	1239	0.115		462	0.045
	1241	0.015		442	0.001
	1240	0.09		447	0.01
	1249	0.125		446	0.005
	1248	0.002	Bhelain No. 228	115	0.015
	1251	0.09		100	0.09
	1254	0.215		105	0.07
	1284	0.04		104	0.10
	1285	0.13		103	0.09
	1293	0.03		160	0.10
	1292	0.105		164	0.03
	1291	0.10		158	0.05
	1289	0.035		165	0.09
	1290	0.11		166	0.085
	1288	0.003		167	0.09
	1279	0.025		183	0.017
	1278	0.105		190	0.185
	1277	0.05		191	0.015
	1276	0.005		203	0.09
	1275	0.10		202	0.095
	1274	0.05		204	0.017
	1358	0.005		214	0.10
	1359	0.06		215	0.015
	702	0.001		208	0.09
	1255	0.003		220	0.015
	569	0.002			
	570	0.001			

Village with thana No.	Survey No.	Extent in acre (Plot No.)	Village with thana No.	Survey No.	Extent in acre (Plot No.)
Bhelain No. 228—contd.					Pakri No. 236—contd.
	236	0·165		1916	0·16
	235	0·045		1914	0·20
	250	0·08		1909	0·23
	232	0·01		1948	0·03
	252	0·01		1949	0·09
	251	0·08		1950	0·16
	261	0·09		1954	0·045
	293	0·01		1952	0·14
	292	0·11		1953	0·14
	291	0·12		1957	0·24
	290	0·12		1980	0·445
	288	0·135		1982	0·195
	285	0·055		1983	0·38
	306	0·01		2000	0·045
	307	0·05		2010	0·001
	309	0·085		2011	0·13
	310	0·09		2012	0·06
	311	0·145		2013	0·056
	312	0·135		2017	0·08
	313	0·085		2016	0·08
	316	0·09		2014	0·09
	317	0·165		2015	0·03
	319	0·15		2041	0·02
	320	0·07		2042	0·02
	321	0·085		2044	0·005
	322	0·215		2043	0·04
	323	0·15		2045	0·14
	161	0·02		2040	0·10
	106	0·002		2039	0·40
	107	0·002		2052	0·01
	199	0·002		2053	0·002
	201	0·002		2054	0·002
	237	0·002		2055	0·002
	286	0·001		2056	0·01
				2057	0·05
Pakri No. 236	1874	0·015		2058	0·165
	1922	0·16		2059	0·01
	1921	0·015		2038	0·015
	1919	0·16			

[No. 31(47)63-ONG-BAR-3.]

New Delhi, the 27th October 1964

S.O. 3819.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that it is necessary in the public interest that for the transport of petroleum between Barauni Refinery in Bihar State and Kanpur in Uttar Pradesh State, a pipeline should be laid by the Indian Oil Corporation Limited and that for the purpose of laying such a pipeline, it is necessary to acquire the right of user in the land described in the Schedule annexed hereto;

2. Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 3 of the Petroleum Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), the Central Government hereby declares its intention to acquire the right of user therein.

3. Any person interested in the said land may, within 21 days from the date of this notification, object to the laying of the pipeline under the land to the competent authority at 7/100, Swarup Nagar, Kanpur. Every person making such an objection shall also state specifically whether he wishes to be heard in person or by a legal practitioner.

SCHEDULE

State : UTTAR PRADESH

Tahsil: CHAIL

Distt.: ALLAHABAD

Village	Survey No.	Extent
		B-B B
1. Qureshipur Uperhar	146 M	0 0 6
2. Usmanpur Maiku Katghar Uperhar	108/1 M 159/108 M	0 2 10 0 0 10
3. Dariyabad	228/7 M	0 3 10

[No. 31/50/63-ONG.]

S.O. 3820.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that it is necessary in the public interest that for the transport of petroleum between Barauni Refinery in Bihar State and Kanpur in Uttar Pradesh State, a pipeline should be laid by the Indian Oil Corporation Limited and that for the purpose of laying such a pipeline, it is necessary to acquire the right of user in the land described in the Schedule annexed hereto;

2. Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 3 of the Petroleum Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act 1962 (50 of 1962), the Central Government hereby declares its intention to acquire the right of user therein.

3. Any person interested in the said land may, within 21 days from the date of this notification, object to the laying of the pipeline under the land to the competent authority at 7/166, Swarup Nagar, Kanpur. Every person making such an objection shall also state specifically whether he wishes to be heard in person or by a legal practitioner.

SCHEDULE

State: UTTAR PRADESH.

Distt. : MIRZAPUR

Tahsil : MIRZAPUR.

Village	Survey No.	Extent
1 Mulhwā	1012	B.B.B. 0 1 45

[No. 31/50/63-ONG.]

S.O. 3821.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that it is necessary in the public interest that for the transport of gas from the Cambay Gas field in Gujarat State to the Dhuwaran Power Station in Gujarat State, pipeline should be laid by the Oil and Natural Gas Commission and that for the purpose of laying such pipeline, it is necessary to acquire the right of user in the land described in the Schedule annexed hereto.

2. Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 3 of the Petroleum Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), the Central Government hereby declares its intention to acquire the right of user therein.

3. Any person interested in the said land may, within 21 days from the date of this notification, object to the laying of the pipeline under the land to the competent authority at L.M.P. Building, Sayaji Gunj, Lokmanya Tilak Road, Baroda in the office of the Gujarat Pipeline Project (Oil and Natural Gas Commission). Every person making such an objection shall also state specifically whether he wishes to be heard in person or by a legal practitioner.

SCHEDULE

State : GUJARAT

District : KAIRA

Tehsil : CAMBAY

Sl. No.	Name of Village	S. No.	Area for which crop compensa- tion will be given
1.	Cambay	529 530/1 530/2 538 532 533	o 3 00 o 7 20 o 2 70 o 3 60 o 4 50 o 7 80
2.	Neja	9 10 29 37 36 38 40 72 71 69 68 79 80 78 7	o 3 30 o 7 00 o 8 90 o 6 50 o 2 70 o 7 20 o 7 20 o 1 20 o 7 20 o 1 90 o 3 60 o 6 00 o 5 60 o 1 00 o 1 50
3.	Sokhada	174 194 195/3 197/3 197/1 196/1 198	o 6 50 o 7 70 o 10 60 o 3 90 o 10 60 o 6 45 o 11 50
4.	Paladi	36 43 44 25 26 27 30 29 16 15 42 41 40 32 33	o 12 85 o 9 80 o 4 50 o 11 10 o 6 00 o 7 50 o 1 50 o 4 80 o 4 90 o 5 90 o 6 70 o 7 50 o 4 90 o 3 80 o 6 60
5.	Lunej	265 266 278 281 280 286 285 272 274	o 6 50 o 11 50 o 3 90 o 3 90 o 6 45 o 3 00 o 12 50 o 3 60 o 3 00

Sl. No.	Name of Village	S. No.	Area for which crop compensa- tion will be given
6. Malasoni		187	o 7 30
		188	o 3 60
		182	o 7 10
		179/2	o 4 80
		178	o 3 90
		107	o 3 95
		91	o 3 60
		90	o 5 10
		81	o 4 90
		80	o 7 00
		6	o 5 90
		115	o 12 00
		114	o 1 50
		113	o 2 50
		64	o 13 40
		134	o 3 30
		132	o 6 40
		131	o 6 60
		133	o 6 30
		71	o 6 70
7. Patlavadi		109	o 9 50
		106	o 9 00
		142	o 2 40
		72	o 2 25
		73	o 3 30
		74	o 5 40
		880	o 6 45
		874	o 7 90
		61	o 8 35
		59	o 9 45
		58	o 4 10
		57	o 5 15
		56	o 5 05
		51	o 12 00
		52	o 18 00

[No. 31/38/63-ONG.]

S.O. 3822.—Whereas by a notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Petroleum and Chemicals S.O. No. 2864, dated the 7th August 1964 under sub-section (1) of Section 3 of the Petroleum Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), the Central Government declared its intention to acquire the right of user in the lands specified in the Schedule appended to that notification for the purpose of laying pipelines;

And whereas the competent authority has, under sub-section (1) of section 6 of the said Act, submitted report to the Government;

And, whereas, the Central Government has, after considering the said report, decided to acquire the right of user in the lands specified in the Schedule appended to this notification;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 6 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby declares that the right of user in the said lands specified in the Schedule appended to this notification is hereby acquired for laying the pipelines and in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of that section, the Central Government directs that the right of user in the said lands, shall instead of vesting in the Central Government, vest on the date of the publication of this declaration in the Indian Oil Corporation Limited free from all encumbrances.

SCHEDULE

State—BIHAR District—PATNA Thana—BARGAON

Village with thana No.	Survey No. (Plot No.)	Extent in acre	Village with thana No.	Survey No. (Plot No.)	Extent in acre
Shahpur No. 155	1127 658 659 660 611 662 664 665 666 734 733 732 731 730 744 745 743	0·02 0·005 0·24 0·11 0·07 0·075 0·01 0·025 0·345 0·11 0·06 0·06 0·16 0·085 0·17 0·09 0·005	Majhauli No. 167—contd.	651 784 782 805 804 800 803 801 802 774 478	0·095 0·305 0·14 0·065 0·05 0·15 0·065 0·27 0·005 0·005 0·02
Rukunpura No. 165	404 451 452 439 438 437 436 435 434 433 432 427 426 425 569	0·025 0·4125 0·055 0·06 0·04 0·045 0·08 0·06 0·055 0·125 0·215 0·20 0·185 0·17 0·01	Hadaipur No. 168	1009 1010 1011 1012 1013	0·13 0·125 0·05 0·06 0·06
Mirdahachak No. 166	275 240 239 238 244 245 246 247 263 262 261 248 250 251 281	0·015 0·005 0·395 0·04 0·13 0·065 0·07 0·02 0·185 0·045 0·30 0·025 0·035 0·04 0·04	Chakchhitu No. 169	240 241 242 243 244 245 246 236 247 248 252 251 257 259 278 277 276 282 265 264 279	0·02 0·24 0·04 0·03 0·015 0·11 0·10 0·42 0·155 0·135 0·025 0·015 0·005 0·13 0·035
Majhauli No. 167	629 632 634 635 636 637 638 639 640 641 642 645 646	0·035 0·18 0·18 0·08 0·17 0·07 0·07 0·045 0·04 0·045 0·29 0·115 0·155	Saidpur No. 170	1270 552 549 551 566 567 583 584 585 586 596 595 593 594 617 550	0·01 0·025 0·12 0·105 0·02 0·04 0·05 0·165 0·26 0·04 0·02 0·165 0·055 0·215 0·02 0·26

Village with thana No.	Survey No. (Plot No.)	Extent in acre	Village with thana No.	Survey No. (Plot No.)	Extent in acre
Bahadurpur No. 171	393	0.04	Mulrajpur No. 176—contd.	2519	0.355
	310	0.18		2521	0.01
	308	0.105		2522	0.015
	309	0.225		2523	0.02
	292	0.10		2525	0.025
	293	0.225		2526	0.03
	291	0.025		2527	0.135
	279	0.185		2529	0.095
	280	0.105		2536	0.095
	281	0.16		2535	0.045
	270	0.295		2530	0.025
	263	0.405		2531	0.045
	434	0.04		2532	0.165
Gangapur Narauli No. 174	896	0.035		2533	0.055
	863	0.025		2534	0.06
	862	0.235		2626	0.28
	846	0.12		2625	0.005
	847	0.305		2631	0.055
	856	0.06		2632	0.075
	855	0.07		2633	0.245
	854	0.07		2636	0.265
	853	0.07		2637	0.015
	852	0.07		2638	0.085
	857	0.005		2639	0.115
	858	0.005		2540	0.09
Rampur No. 172	131	0.13		2641	0.045
	132	0.19		2642	0.05
	144	0.34		2643	0.07
	250	0.02		2644	0.215
Sherpur No. 173	205	0.70		2648	0.335
	208	0.11		2656	0.165
	255	0.34		2660	0.175
	256	0.10		2667	0.005
	257	0.20		2666	0.275
	258	0.24		2664	0.005
	259	0.08		2665	0.03
	253	0.10	Alipur Bihta No. 188	96	0.01
	277	0.105		95	0.32
	272	0.26		94	0.095
	275	0.185		91	0.03
	276	0.025		93	0.01
	204	0.02		89	0.245
	274	0.155		88	0.025
Mulrajpur No. 176	2344	0.02		87	0.025
	2341	0.165		34	0.06
	2340	0.145		32	0.105
	2342	0.095		28	0.145
	2339	0.26		27	0.12
	2496	0.075		26	0.11
	2497	0.07		17	0.145
	2498	0.125		18	0.06
	2500	0.05		16	0.145
	2501	0.065		20	0.08
	2502	0.075		15	0.02
	2503	0.06	Salimpur No. 187	3	0.005
	2504	0.11		4	0.05
	2405	0.05		7	0.24
	2506	0.065		5	0.12
	2510	0.09		6	0.07
	2512	0.09		8	0.15
	2513	0.105		9	0.19
	2518	0.015		10	0.005

Village with thana No.	Survey No. (Plot No.)	Extent in acre	Village with thana No.	Survey No. (Plot No.)	Extent in acre
Salimpur No. 187 —contd.	40	0.045	Moghalpura No. 183 —contd.	849	0.03
	65	0.08		428	0.005
	64	0.07	Ghoswari No. 147	2983	0.03
	63	0.02		1418	0.025
	71	0.005		1419	0.005
	70	0.15		1420	0.275
	69	0.125		1421	0.05
	260	0.32		1426	0.12
	259	0.03		1422	0.14
	261	0.09		1423	0.005
	262	0.065		1457	0.245
	263	0.065		1458	0.145
	264	0.055		1459	0.03
	265	0.055		1461	0.035
	267	0.06		1462	0.015
	254	0.09		1463	0.02
	253	0.09		1460	0.24
	252	0.025		1468	0.20
	251	0.155		1469	0.005
	248	0.065		1464	0.06
	245	0.12		1465	0.05
	247	0.33		1466	0.02
	294	0.025		1467	0.03
	300	0.10		1633	0.035
	301	0.095		1634	0.015
	297	0.005		1632	0.135
	298	0.005		1631	0.13
	299	0.44		1625	0.005
	258	0.005		1626	0.015
	257	0.005		1627	0.02
	266	0.005		1630	0.155
Doman No. 184	352	0.185		1635	0.075
	353	0.355		1636	0.08
	351	0.175		1637	0.095
	350	0.225		1638	0.005
	348	0.37		1639	0.04
	349	0.12		1640	0.11
	325	0.29		1641	0.06
	324	0.185		1642	0.26
	326	0.14		1645	0.24
	323	0.06		1647	0.015
	491	0.01		2987	0.075
	327	0.06		1646	0.165
				1649	0.065
Mogalpura No. 183	494	0.01		1801	0.035
	351	0.025		1802	0.18
	343	0.065		1800	0.16
	344	0.18		1799	0.035
	345	0.245		1803	0.19
	346	0.33		1794	0.005
	399	0.105		1804	0.04
	400	0.03		1805	0.03
	401	0.03		1806	0.03
	402	0.035		1807	0.025
	403	0.06		1811	0.105
	404	0.055		1809	0.02
	405	0.07		1810	0.02
	406	0.27		1812	0.105
	407	0.11		1813	0.09
	408	0.02		1814	0.065
	424	0.09		1860	0.18
	425	0.06		1861	0.075
	426	0.20		1862	0.03
	427	0.035		1863	0.01

Village with thana No.	Survey No.	Extent (Plot No.) in acre	Village with thana No.	Survey No.	Extent (Plot No.) in acre
	1864	0.10	Champapur No. 146—contd	1002	0.02
	1865	0.03		1019	0.555
	1873	0.20		1078	0.02
	1874	0.07		1101	0.035
	1875	0.03		1100	0.09
	1876	0.05		1098	0.005
Ghoswari No. 147—contd.	1877	0.08		1099	0.08
	1878	0.085		1102	0.05
	1879	0.055		1105	0.11
	1882	0.47		1106	0.125
	1883	0.03		1112	0.025
	1945	0.325		1113	0.265
	1944	0.125	Dedur No. 145	692	0.265
	1943	0.025		700	0.03
	1942	0.33		699	0.015
	1956	0.03		698	0.19
	1957	0.035		697	0.015
	1958	0.06		696	0.21
	1959	0.13		695	0.23
	1960	0.14		812	0.23
	1961	0.055		807	0.005
	1962	0.065		808	0.235
	1968	0.10		788	0.07
	1967	0.095		789	0.035
	1966	0.14		790	0.035
				791	0.14
Champapur No. 146	888	0.155		793	0.025
	887	0.115		794	0.11
	848	0.055		795	0.13
	847	0.16		898	0.055
	846	0.005		897	0.045
	945	0.09		899	0.08
	852	0.035		900	0.13
	853	0.045		892	0.08
	854	0.005		901	0.24
	844	0.295		902	0.125
	843	0.045		903	0.175
	823	0.05		904	0.005
	822	0.04			
	821	0.035	Bariarpur No. 144	452	0.01
	820	0.015		453	0.18
	824	0.20		454	0.21
	934	0.11		455	0.26
	955	0.005		456	0.02
	954	0.21		461	0.19
	957	0.10		462	0.34
	956	0.12			
	960	0.01	Simri No. 143	348	0.44
	959	0.13		347	0.015
	958	0.07		346	0.07
	999	0.06		345	0.12
	1000	0.005		344	0.04
	1004	0.31		339	0.58
	1005	0.005		340	0.02
	1003	0.05		341	0.115

[No. 31/47/64-ONG. 10/PAT.]

P. P. GUPTA, Under Secy.

